

# Evaluation of the 2nd phase of the driver training in Austria Dr. Michael Gatscha

**Test & Training International** 



**Evaluation study sponsored by** 



#### Background

#### **Content of the training (modules)**

**Evaluation** 

Design Results Conclusions & Outlook





# 2nd phase education is implemented since 1.1.2003

#### Who?

#### <u>All</u> novice drivers holding a driving license for category A or B



# Background

#### What? Track training & **1.Feedback** 2.Feedback psycholog. group drive drive discussion Test Test





#### When?







#### **Consequences of delay?**



4 months



#### 4 months



# Until completion of all modules



#### Module: 1<sup>st</sup> feedback drive

#### **Duration: 2 hours**



...hazard perception....

- ...accident avoiding defensive driving...
- ...social behaviour...
- ...analysing negative influence from others...
- ...analysis of individual strengths and weaknesses...

...wrongly adapted behaviours...

# Module: track training

#### **Duration: 6 hours**



...demonstration of driving dynamics and accident risks...

...experience of vehicle & personal boundaries...

...braking distances...

...awareness that mastering difficult situations is not a calculable risk...

...avoidance of selfoverconfidence...



#### **Module:** psychological group discussion Duration: 2 hours, combined with track training



- ...typical accident risks...
- ...individual accident risks...
- ...single vehicle accidents ...
- ...self over-confidence...

What is my typical accident? What are my weaknesses/strengths?



#### Module: 2<sup>nd</sup> feedback drive

#### **Duration: 2 hours**



Same topics as 1<sup>st</sup> feedback drive, eg.

...analysis of individual strengths and weaknesses...

...especially compared to the 1<sup>st</sup> feedback drive...



# **Evaluation study**



# **Evaluation design**

<b>Evaluation type</b>	Method	Торіс
Accident analysis	Before-after comparison, statistical modelling	All accidents, single vehicle accidents
Process evaluation	questionnaire for 2nd phase participants	<b>Before:</b> Personal demographic data, expectations, self assessment of driving competence, attitudes <u>After:</u> Same as before but additional assessment of skills, satisfaction and feedback
Nationwide Survey	Control group of BASIC- study vs. Intervention group (2 <sup>nd</sup> phase)	Demographic data, beliefs and attitudes, exposure, pass-rates, self reported accidents & offences, satisfaction with modules
Overall View	Licensing Register Data	Distribution of 2 <sup>nd</sup> phase license holders in terms of age, sex and time between issuance of driving license and completion of single modules

# Licensing Register Data



#### **Evaluation results – Overall view**

#### Distribution of novice drivers (cat. B) in Austria since 2003:



# Accident analysis

- ≻Time series analysis
- ➢Accidents and driving experience
- Single vehicle accidents (by gender)



## **Evaluation results**

#### **Background info:**



KfV

# **Evaluation results – Accidents Time Series Analysis**

18 year old drivers involved in accidents with personal injury



# **Evaluation results – Accidents Time Series Analysis**

24-30 year old drivers inv. in accidents with personal injury



Model:ARIMA (1,0,1) Model Fit: R<sup>2</sup>=,989

#### **Evaluation results - Accidents**



#### **Evaluation results - Accidents**

#### 18 year old drivers\* invol. in accidents with personal injury



## **Evaluation results – Single Vehicle Accidents**

# 18 year old <u>male drivers</u> invol. in single vehicle accidents with personal injury



## **Evaluation results – Single Vehicle Accidents**

18 year old <u>female</u> drivers\* involved in single vehicle accidents with personal injury



# **Other accident types**



#### **Evaluation results - Accidents**

18 year old male drivers\* invol. in several accident types with personal injury, in their first year of driving experience



#### **Evaluation results - Accidents**

18 year old female drivers\* invol. in several accident types with personal injury, in their first year of driving experience



# **Process Evaluation**



- **Process evaluation**
- (track training & group discussion)
- **Expectations (before)**
- Self assessment of driving skills (before after)
- Attitudes (before after)
- >Assessment of skills for daily driving (after)



**Description of sample:** 

**Evaluation period: Spring-Summer 2007 Novice drivers (cat. B only) completing their track training day** 

Sample size: n=2560, 2 separate groups: n=1279 (before), n=1281 (after )



# Expectations

"From the track training I expect...

... to know the boundaries of my vehicle

...to master risky situations better

...to anticipate risky situations faster to avoid them

...to be a better driver compared to drivers who didn't attend the course"

Response format: "totally disagree" (1) to " totally agree" (5)



#### **Expectations: results**





#### How do you assess your competence regarding.... vehicle handling?





#### How do you assess your competence regarding.... anticipation of risky situations?



## How do you assess your skills regarding.... your overall competence?



# Assess the importance of the following skills for your <u>personal daily driving</u> routine?

- 1. Recognition of traffic situations which are likely to cause an accident
- 2. Correction of a skidding car
- 3. Avoidance of braking or accelerating in slippery curves
- 4. Selection of speed/distance to avoid a crash in case of emergency braking
- **5. Adaption of speed to situational circumstances**
- 6. Correct viewing, steering and braking technique in case of skidding
- 7. Usage of new techniques in real traffic situations
- 8. Avoiding risky situations
- 9. Correct braking and swerving in case of a suddenly appearing obstacle
- 10. Avoidance of driving mistakes which lead to a loss of control over the vehicle

#### **Importance of skills:**



# Nationwide Survey



# Nationwide Survey

- **Beliefs and attitudes** (before after implementation)
- ≻Exposure
- Self-reported accidents & offences
- **Pass-rates of driving test** (before after implem.)
- ➤Satisfaction with single modules



**Description of sample:** 

Survey period: Spring-Summer 2007 Sample sizes: "Control group" (CG2002) n=719 ("BASIC" study), "Intervention group" (IG2007) n=738

Samples representative and comparable



#### **Beliefs & Attitudes:**

- Several stattistical differences (before & after) regarding selfreported behaviours , e.g.
- >driving style,
- ≻speed choice,
- ➤wearing of seatbelts, etc.
- However, differences are rather small (average 0,2 Grades!)

#### **Exposure:**

No statistical differences regarding mileage or car characteristics (vehicle age, engine power) observed

Self reported accidents & offences:

**Bigger (signifcant) differences occured concerning self-reported** 

- >overall number of accidents (IG reported less)
- Inumber of offences (alcohol & other) (IG reported less)

The latter may be biased by varied enforcement activities!



#### Satisfaction with modules:



#### Satisfaction with time frames:



# Conclusions & Outlook



## **Conclusions & Outlook**

# Key conclusions with reference to the...

#### ...<u>accident analysis:</u>

>significant reduction of accidents within young, novice drivers
>reduction of single vehicle accidents caused by male drivers

#### ...process evaluation:

**≻**optimize expectations

➤track training delivers the "right" message

#### ...<u>national survey:</u>

➤minor changes in attitudes & self-reported behaviours

- ➢positive changes in self-reported accidents
- ≻good acceptance of modules,
- ➢re-design of 2nd feedback drive



## **Conclusions & Outlook**

Key conclusions with reference to the...

...analysis of License Register:

➢optimisation of time frames (track training day)

≻the implementation of did system did not lead to a decreased number of issued licences

#### Outlook

We are on the right way, but there is potential for further improvement:

Development of a comprehensive quality assurance system
Standardized "postgraduate" education for professionals
Development of a (technical) system to better control for <u>real</u> exposure
Evaluation of effects for category A

# Puno hvala!

Contact: Michael Gatscha Test & Training International

E-Mail: michael.gatscha@test-and-training.com

